

Observations on Market Liquidity Enhancement

Confidential

29 August 2022





Contents







Background

Global Market Liquidity





Value of Equity Share Trading / Market Capitalisation

- Although the average daily turnover of HKEX has generally shown an upward trend over the past years, turnover velocity (value traded ٠ relative to the overall capitalisation), an indicator that reflects liquidity of a market, continues to be lagging other major exchanges globally.
- More specifically, the turnover velocity of HKEX was at 76.4% in 2021, while that of other major exchanges tends to be well above . 100%.

Source: HKEX; World Federation of Exchanges

Liquidity Providers





Market makers: Comparing the investor types of the US and Hong Kong markets, market turnover in the US is predominately contributed by market makers/high frequency traders, quantitative funds and hedge funds, who took up a total of **67.9% in 2020** and 65.9% in 2021. These market participants (roughly categorised as Exchanges Participants) only accounted for **28.1% of the market turnover in Hong Kong in 2020**.

ETFs: In general, ETFs are considered an attractive investment vehicle among investors due in part to its high liquidity characteristics. In 2021, ETFs accounted for about **25% of the total US stock trading** by volume. While a significant growth in turnover is observed in Hong Kong's ETF market in 2015, it was not sustained afterwards, with the average daily turnover staying at roughly **4% of the market total** in 2021.

Source: Bloomberg Intelligence; HKEX; Bloomberg; Cboe Exchange, Inc.; Investment Company Institute



Minimum Daily ETF Share for the Year



ETFs as a Percentage of Overall Hong Kong Trading (2012 - 2021)



Potential Measures to Enhance Market Liquidity

nhancements of E ⁻	TF trading activities	\frown	FIN SER DE G 通
Introducing a new spread table with tick sizes more suitable for Hong Kong-listed stocks	Increasing maximum spread range requirement to above 24 spreads	3 Extending the market maker short- sell permission for manual trades (OTC trades after-market hours)	Introducing adjustments to ETF settlement approaches
	Existing	Challenges	
 The new spread table with reduced tick sizes introduced in 2020 is for ETFs and L&I Products* only Single stocks are traded with the old spread table: Investors have to pay higher spreads for single stocks trading ETF quotations are marked up to cover for the higher stock spreads 	 The current maximum spread range allowed for equities and ETFs is 24 spreads This spread might not be significant enough for market markets to trade under certain market conditions, especially when the market becomes overly volatile 	 Market makers are unable to provide liquidity outside the continuous trading sessions with exemptions such as short selling, tick rule, stamp duty This arrangement hinders their market making functions 	 On the ETF primary market, market makers are required a) to perform Free of Payment (FOP) settlement on T or T+1 for the creation orders, and b) obtain ETF units at T+2 through one of the four settlement batches Resulting in higher costs and risks
	Upon the Implementation o	f the Proposed Enhancements	
Align with the latest market development Reduce price spreads when market makers offer ETF pricing to investors Lower trading costs for market participants Enhance flexibility and price efficiency for investors when faced with various unexpected market conditions	 Increasing the maximum premium/ discount requirement to above 24 spreads under certain circumstances as appropriate, while other measures can be put in place to prohibit certain behaviour intended to disrupt market orders 	 Allow further flexibility to the participant Provide an alternative channel to generate profit Provide more ETF liquidity during non-continuous hours Improve ETF liquidity on the following days 	 Settle ETFs through Delivery Versus Payment (DVP) can lead to better market liquidity than using FOP Set clearer guidance and allow ETFs to settle through DVP on T+2, or through one batch of settlement at T+2 afternoon

*Leveraged and inverse products

Enhancements of general trading and clearing activities 3 Considering market maker programmes for Introducing new rules that allow market Assessing portfolio risks of market participants illiquid stocks to improve liquidity makers to engage across the central counterparties multiple clearing participants **Existing Challenges** · While many investors hold portfolios across all three Each market maker in Hong Kong can only In spite of existing incentive schemes offered to settle trades with one clearing participant (i.e. central counterparties, margins are calculated based market makers by HKEX, such as discounted trading General Clearing Participant), which could on each of the portfolios held under the three CCPs fee or 100% trading fee waiver or rebate, a result in high concentration risks separately, without any regard to the overall position significant number of securities remain relatively held by an investor illiquid in the market • Create inefficiency in terms of capital usage, resulting in higher costs that limits the market makers' ability to provide liquidity in the market Pose higher inventory risk, especially at times when market are volatile **Upon the Implementation of the Proposed Enhancements** Assess portfolio risks of participants across CCPs to Allow market makers to clear with multiple • Considering market making incentives targeted at clearing participants (i.e. allowing a multipleoptimise capital efficiency for clearing and trading low-liquidity securities with practical standards GCP system on the exchange level), with each participants clearing participant making required reporting Reduce concentration risks Provide market makers the flexibility to choose suitable clearing participants

Financial Services Development Council 香港金融發展局

1 Adopting an opt-in self-match prevention	2 Providing more transparency on picked gateways	3 Upgrading trading system with advanced
mechanism by the HKEX	connecting members and exchanges	technology accompanied with capacity building
	Existing Challenges	
Many exchanges in both developed and emerging markets have adopted self-match prevention mechanisms to reduce unintentional, frictional and other transaction costs Currently, there are no self-match prevention mechanisms for equities on HKEX	 Information on which gateway(s) is(are) allocated during the time of trading through the matching engine is not transparent enough in Hong Kong 	 To keep up with changing client needs in the face of the constantly evolving technology landscape and stay relevant in the global competition. Compared with other major global exchanges, more proactive actions would be appreciated
	Upon the Implementation of the Proposed Enhancer	ments
Considering international practices and Hong Kong's fundamental market structure to adopt an opt-in self-match prevention mechanism With reference to self-match prevention rules of other exchanges, such as those in the US and other markets	 Changes in three main areas: Disclose more details on how the technical infrastructure is designed Maintain a single first-in-first-out gateway with advanced time-stamping technology to minimise gaming of timing; and Making available a single session per symbol-gateway switch for each client to reduce variability and prevent a race of infrastructure expenditure by sophisticated participants 	 Continue to develop and upgrade its trading systems, preferably with the most advanced technology that supports optimal electronic mechanisms and infrastructure As such upgrades are incorporated, structure comprehensive and detailed capacity building plans for market participants and stakeholders Support them in making informed decisions and seizing opportunities that are less familiar with





Thank you



Contacts

The Financial Services Development Council

Unit 502, 5/F, Nexxus Building, No. 41 Connaught Road Central Hong Kong

Tel: (852) 2493 1313 Email: enquiry@fsdc.org.hk LinkedIn



Website

Hashtag

